

MINUTES
PARKS & RECREATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE
Tuesday, December 1, 2015
CBJ Assembly Chambers -- 6 p.m.

Call to Order at 6:03 p.m. – Chris Mertl, Chair

Present: Josh Anderson, Odin Brudie, Frances Dowd (telephonic), Traci Gilmour, Eric Ouderkirk, Tom Rutecki;

Absent: Lindsay Hallvick, Gerry Landry;

Assembly Liaison: Maria Gladziszewski, present;

Staff present: Kirk Duncan, P&R Director; L. Daniel, P&R Administrative Assistant II; S. Stekoll, Public Works Engineering Associate

Moment of Silence and words for Mayor Greg Fisk

C. Mertl – I would like to take a moment to speak about Mayor Greg Fisk, if anyone has anything they'd like to say. He was a supporter of Parks & Recreation when he started his campaign he sat down with me a couple of times and talked about P&R and the importance of these facilities in terms of creating a diverse community, a rich community that has economic opportunity through a good Parks & Recreation infrastructure. We did a fair bit of discussion once he became mayor about Parks & Recreation and one of my last conversations with Greg just last week was about the Sister Cities Cup, which is a hockey game that I host between White Horse and Juneau, and how he was going to ramp it up this year and have a lot of fun in terms of challenging Whitehorse's mayor, Mayor Curtis, and having some friendly wagers. If anyone else has anything that they want to add about Greg, I am going to break from our agenda if anyone wants to say anything.

T. Rutecki – I'd agree with you about Mayor Fisk and Parks & Recreation. I knew Greg from the pool and also from work on downtown revitalization, he was pretty passionate about that. He was pretty big on trying to get a sort of a light rail to go through downtown to alleviate traffic. He was a real champion of the pools, he had some good ideas, he was well liked. He got 65% of the vote, that tells you something. Because the mayor he ran against was well received, people liked him too. He will be missed, I was really looking forward to the things he was going to do for the community.

O. Brudie – I too appreciated the Mayor's energy and, as you know, he was an avid skier and supporter of Eaglecrest. I am really sorry.

C. Mertl – Let's take a quick moment here to reflect.

Agenda Changes – K. Duncan suggests that item 6 be moved in front of item 5 to respect S. Stekoll's time; Motion from J. Anderson to accept Agenda as presented, no objection, Agenda approved.

Public Participation of Non-Agenda Items – Michelle Morgan, Director for Juneau Softball Association.

M. Morgan -- We would like to get Savikko fields back to where they can be used. For the last three years we have not been able to use the fields because we have been told the topsoil doesn't hold up. We are concerned that sports fields are not currently being utilized enough. We have concerns of them not being maintained, and resurfaced, and used, and becoming an eyesore for the people of Douglas. I am also on the Douglas Advisory Board. I didn't know if Mr. Duncan had addressed my concerns with you, or if this was the appropriate time to talk about this.

K. Duncan – It's on the Director's Report tonight. We are going to talk a little bit about the various alternatives, because the fields are questionable, and we'll go forward from there. And then I would suggest if you [M. Morgan] would like to hang around for that conversation if the board has questions we can invite you back up again.

M. Morgan – Okay.

C. Mertl – Does anybody have questions?

O. Brudie – Which specific sports and which fields are we talking about?

K. Duncan – It is basically fields three and four. Goldrush Days is on two, field one is over by Treadwell. It is on three and four. I am a Douglas resident and I like those fields as well. It will be a major expense to bring them back. No decisions will be made tonight. This will be informational.

T. Rutecki – Does this go back to where they put the wrong material on top of the fields and it's too mushy? Is that what is being discussed or is this an aesthetics issue?

K. Duncan – This is not at aesthetic issue, this is about safety, about using the fields. It is also varying because some folks believe they are usable and some folks believe they are not usable. This is a community discussion that we need to have. I am not threatening to close the fields, that is not what we are doing here. We are trying to come up with a sound financial and community-oriented solution to a problem.

Approval of Meeting Minutes from November 3, 2015 – Motion by T. Rutecki to strike F. Dowd as present. Motion by C. Mertl to strike the word “motion” from L. Hallvick’s comment supporting PRAC vending machine efforts to clean up machines, and replace with “support” for healthy options. C. Mertl Motion to approve as amended. No objection, Motion approved.

New Business – S. Stekoll; CIP Engineering Associate

S. Stekoll – I am going to touch base on a lot of projects we have been working on.

- Seawalk – The bids came back from the first round high and we canceled the bid. We had a lot of discussions with the contractors involved and the design team. We are splitting it into three phases at this point. The first phase is out on the street right now. That is the major earth work phase, so the fill for the bridge park area where the whale will go, and the fill for the island, in this phase there is also the foundation for the whale, which is still on schedule to be constructed and delivered here sometime in the spring. In the contract we are saying the site will be ready for it on July 1st. that is not the pool or anything else just the foundation for the whale, the rest will come in later phases. The second phase is the pile supported Seawalk. That will probably go out to bid sometime early spring. Right now we are looking at construction for that probably starting next summer to next fall – maybe August/September, continuing through winter. Phase three would be finishing work around the bridge park area and the plantings on the island. That work we imagine starting in the Spring of 2017.
- Cope Park – We got the bids back for Cope Park and it's currently under protest so we have not awarded the contract yet. This is the master plan that Corvus design came up with a few years ago for us, we are still aiming for this goal. In this phase, phase two, we're redoing the main waterline that comes through the park and utilities. We'll have to tear up the road coming in, the bids didn't come back low enough to award the reorientation of the parking lot, but we will be building new tennis courts, demolishing the old tennis courts, and improving the central park with lighting. We are going to end up at a point – do we go toward phase three in a few years or do we make this more of a long-term situation for now? Eventually, with the goal of trying to get toward the master plan. But that might end up sitting for a while. Construction will start on this in the spring and should be done through next year. I imagine the access road will be shut down for quite a period of time, mostly in the spring. They are going to try to get all the major waterline work done in the spring.
- Project Playground ADA upgrades – We have been working with Joan O'Keefe from SAIL and Corvus Design to come up with a poured in place path system throughout the playground that will make it more

wheelchair accessible. We looked at a series of different materials and felt that the poured in place would best serve us as we can do a minimal footprint with the shredded rubber that's in there. We would basically have poured in place that's angled down and shredded rubber fill on the side. Our cost estimate was about \$140,000 for the surfacing material. This was one of the 1% projects that was on the ballot and we are just getting the funding for it this year, so we are planning to put this out to bid shortly. The plan is that we will open bids a couple of weeks into the new year and begin construction as soon as the temperature warms up so we can do the poured in place. Again, this will require the playground to be shut down for an extended period of time.

- **Bond Restroom and Concession Projects** – Three of these projects were individual projects on the ballot: Melvin Park, Dimond Park, and Addair Kennedy. We now have the bond money available and we are moving forward with the plans. To give you a little background on the restroom and concession buildings, the first one we did in the new style was the Miller Field restroom/concession, that was 10 - 15 years ago. That was a \$350,000 construction project. Usually for total project cost you add about a 30% markup to that. The first thing I did when I was handed this project was look at our bottom line figures that we got in that bond and I got a little worried that we wouldn't have enough. Because in addition to these restroom concession buildings, we have other things on the bond language that we are also supposed to be doing. What we have done is combined it into a single project with hopes that we could save a little bit by combining it. The basis of design is that we have these modules that are the same. So the concession area is the same size at all three parks and then you have a restroom module for the next size, which is Dimond Park, and for Addair you potentially need more restrooms so you just add on, but the base would be the same.
 - o Melvin Park – Replacing the Conex concession area with a new concession building that will closely match the existing restroom building.
 - o Dimond Park – Getting to the restrooms. There is an existing utility building that we are proposing to build next to right in the center there. We have looked at a couple of different configurations. We held a public meeting and went through all of these and we felt like we were in a good spot with Melvin and Dimond. Our goal was really just making sure we were locating this building where we wanted to locate it.
 - o Addair Kennedy -- We have this master plan when we are talking about the turf fields for baseball and a new turf softball field coming into Addair. This is on the school districts 6-year CIP plan but now there is not the possibility of getting reimbursement for this and so the turf fields project has more or less stalled out. What that helped us do is realize we can't just take out the existing restroom/concession building and put a new one in without taking a wholistic view of the site. We started to diagram a new plan, getting more into detail about the plaza and sizing where our new restroom concession buildings could end up. We have the tennis courts, the basketball court, the storage buildings, the turf fields, and the track replacement in our future CIPs. So we brought this up to the school district and they said the parking lot doesn't really work for them either. So, one of the things we are moving as staff is coming up with a full Addair Kennedy master plan before we dive into building a restroom/concession building. This is on hold for a bit in terms of building a new restroom/concession building. We will wait until the master plan comes together before we move forward with the bid for Addair.
- **Update on the rest of the 1 percent projects** – Most of projects have been pushed to the end of the five-year cycle. Most of that funding started rolling in last year, with another big chunk coming this year. The 2012 packet P&R put together with projects and requests was taken through the system before the vote was put out. After the vote was put out there were also some changes. We have the Jensen-Olsen Arboretum, all of the funding is in place for that now. The Highway Offroad Vehicle Park and the Lemon Creek Park that were in the packet have been taken out. Cope park is being constructed. I mentioned the Twin Lakes Project Playground then there will be the future phase to fix up some of the paths and abutment for the dock there. Chicken Yard Park ended up with only \$93,000, that funding should be coming in July. Same thing for the Capital School Park and the Treadwell Mine Historic Park. The next big one to tackle for us will be the Riverside Rotary Park. In each of these we will be looking at the funding

and trying to sort out what we are doing. Some of them we have the master plan and to move forward we will pick apart what we can to get there and we're going to be using our parks and playgrounds CIP to try and do as much as possible with those. The last of the funds come around in FY17 so that's when we hope to have most of them heading in the direction to be completed.

C. Mertl – Questions?

J. Anderson – Regarding the OHV Park that originally started as two-hundred and some thousand...

S. Stekoll – In the voter packet it ended up being \$100,000.

J. Anderson – Then they used \$40,000 for the study? That left \$60,000 leftover, so now all of that is gone?

S. Stekoll – Immediately following that first year they took two projects off of our list and used the funding elsewhere, which was the Offroad Vehicle Park and the Lemon Creek Park, and together I think they were \$300,000.

J. Anderson – What is the future for that? Is there anything out there to keep that rolling or in the works?

S. Stekoll – I do know that it has been on the periphery of our CIP but without a specific project we have not penciled it in.

C. Mertl – The number that went out to the voters and the number we have remaining, what's the difference?

S. Stekoll – Somewhere around \$1.3 million is left.

C. Mertl – From what we voted on and was in the voter booklet and what is now being allocated to P&R facilities with the loss of Lemon Creek Park, the OHV, some of the numbers being reduced do you know what the difference in that number is?

S. Stekoll – Between the voter packet and the amount we are getting is \$300,000. But between the packet that P&R put together and the voter info that is a much different number. Sales tax isn't an exact science so some of the numbers do end up getting slightly tweaked.

C. Mertl – But for conversation roughly \$300,000 less?

S. Stekoll – Correct.

C. Mertl – Can I ask why Fish Creek Park is also missing?

S. Stekoll – The Fish Creek, Bridge Park, and Marine Park were completely cut out of the P&R packet to the voter info packet. Bridge Park became its own separate project. I'm not aware of anything with Fish Creek or Marine Park.

C. Mertl – Would it be a value at all to get PRAC involved to help you out prioritizing and looking at these? Or is this something that is done at the higher level and at this point we would not be providing any new direction or advising in a positive manner?

S. Stekoll – In terms of the funding coming in, we know which projects it is supposed to go to. So, once we get into these individual projects and start looking at what we can do for that amount of money and whether we can cut things out or add things, I think that's an important thing for us to come to you with. I can bring individual projects to you when they come up. The thing that directs me is our CIP list so as that comes together every year that is a good place to voice your opinions .

C. Mertl – I think PRAC would love to help out and play an advisory role as you look at CIPs for now and in the future. I think it's important to bring it back to the public and PRAC when budgetary constraints come up. PRAC would like to get more involved in these decisions. Does PRAC agree? Does everyone see that as being an advantageous role for us?

K. Duncan – So 2017 is the end and we vote in '17 for the next five years. That is the packet that S. Stekoll is putting out now. What I suggest is that every three months we have a CIP update and that we agree on a form we like. PRAC should really be looking at what PRAC would recommend as the top priorities. You need to be involved in the process so when something drops off you don't find out it dropped off after the decision has already been made. Having S. Stekoll and engineering come in is extremely beneficial on a regular basis.

S. Stekoll – From my perspective we are comfortable coming in and doing project updates more regularly.

M. Gladyszewski – I would agree with K. Duncan. People voted on a list it was never a guarantee that the entire list would get built because you don't know how much sales tax is going to be and you don't **know how much** each project is going to cost. But still, people like the PRAC should be watching the PRAC projects and know what's falling off and not falling off. Somebody should be watching.

C. Mertl – Would quarterly meetings with Engineering be beneficial? Does that sound too often?

S. Stekoll – That is probably about right.

C. Mertl – Is the information we see here locked in.

S. Stekoll – The one percent projects are locked in but the CIPs are not.

C. Mertl – K. Duncan can we have a 3+1+1 summary next month?

K. Duncan – We will figure out who the right person to do that is.

- **Auke Lake Wayside** – At this time DOT is just constructing the parking lot and lighting.

K. Duncan – That is the second phase, the third phase will be the shelter and the restrooms. They have this large path on the other side of the guardrail they are putting in fill to connect a new bicycle trail all the way from Brotherhood Bridge to Auke Lake. They are going to reconfigure the parking lot to some degree, reduce the number of parking spots, and will put up signs that say no student parking, but it will be difficult to enforce that.

C. Mertl – Who would be paying for phase three, DOT, CBJ, or another source?

S. Stekoll – At one point DOT was taking the reins on that so at this time that is unknown.

- **Parking** – About a year ago we got a grant from the state to look at Willoughby District parking. We hired Tetratex and Walker Parking Consultants to put together a recommendation for where we can expand parking facilities in the Willoughby District. As we got into that there are three big players: the Federal Building, the state, and the city. The majority of the Willoughby district parking problem at least from the state side of things is a downtown parking problem. We looked at several sites and how many spaces we could fit, a rough cost estimate, where it would best serve the different locations that need it. The overall goal is to get surface parking out of there and to open that up to different development. We looked at building a big garage at the Federal Building, which would solve a lot of parking problems at the flats area, but it doesn't really help the city's problem of downtown parking. It's too far away, unless we did some sort of downtown shuttle. The State Office Building north garage is in bad shape and they are looking at fixing and possibly expanding it. That may solve a lot of problems.

The other side of parking is downtown parking management, less to do with P&R because you guys currently manage the garages, but the on-street parking and downtown parking. We also looked at how the management of the garages is working. Walker walked us through a process of what they would recommend. One of the options Walker suggested was that we look at contracting out to parking enforcement and management services. I know that in P&R's internal review they mentioned getting parking out of P&R, not sure of where that is at this point.

T. Rutecki – I think the PRAC should take up taking parking out of P&R in the future and make a recommendation to the Assembly and urge them to move it somewhere else.

K. Duncan – I think we need a parking authority. I am happy to be involved in operating the parking authority. It shouldn't be in P&R, but could be another thing the P&R Director does. We are leaving serious money by not managing on-street parking like we should be. I don't want to quote but it's six figures. I think that would be a very good role for PRAC to play is to recommend both the Hall Report and the Walker Report and set up an independent parking authority.

J. Anderson – I would like to agree with T. Rutecki. If we do want to move in that direction, let's do it.

S. Stekoll – Walker came up with a slew of recommendations and one of those was looking at contracting parking services out.

K. Duncan – The first step is for you to read the Hall Report and the Walker Report. I would suggest that I write the letter, you guys review it. You can then make the recommendation to the Assembly.

P&R Director's Report – K. Duncan:

- **Mendenhall River** – There are four feet from the top of the bank to the trail. It is only a matter of time before that washes out. One proposed solution is to put a five-foot sidewalk next to the road and then a five-foot sidewalk next to this road.

O. Brudie – Is the idea to abandon the trail along the river in favor of this reroute?

K. Duncan – That is the idea. Because I don't know where it is going to stop and there is a desire not to get in to the fireweed.

C. Mertl – I agree that the trail needs to be relocated but in my opinion this is way too extreme on the other side, in that pulling it away from the river makes perfect sense, but I think maintaining a trail through the meadow is important. My preference is to see the trail realigned but stay within the meadow alignment.

E. Ouder Kirk – I agree with C. Mertl in that I don't see how rerouting along the highway is a positive.

C. Mertl – I make a motion to P&R staff suggesting that alternatives be considered with a preference towards a meadow-trail alignment and that the engineering department respond to the letter.

J. Anderson -- I second that motion.

- **Kax Trail and SAIL proposal** – SAIL will not be asking to do anything on this trail this year. In addition they will be assisting us in creating an accessibility policy which will incorporate federal ADA regulations into state ADA regulations for the use of adaptive equipment on all of our trails.
- **Invasive Species Policy/Program** – we did a one-year program funded by the US Department of Fish & Wildlife. It was a one-year program, that program has ceased. We currently have no invasive species program.

E. Oude Kirk – One thing that comes up in terms of grant opportunities is that US Forest Service State Private Forestry has an invasive weeds program and they fund all sorts of projects related to invasive weeds. Not sure if every piece of city land would be qualified, but we have a lot of joint boundaries between city and federal and that is frequently what they are looking for, non-federal lands that are immediately adjacent to federal lands in order to control the spread of an invasive. That may be one place to start with state and private forestry in Anchorage. If you need a name and phone number I can get it for you.

K. Duncan – If you could send us the link that would be great.

C. Mertl – This is in the simmer rather than boil category.

O. Brudie – Maybe there are two or three PRAC members interested in helping with this.

T. Rutecki – We could do some of the groundwork and then have a better idea of what we want staff to do. It keeps them from having to drop something else and look into this. I'd be glad to work on it.

C. Mertl – Let's tentatively table this because I have an idea I am going to bring up under my comments.

- **Fees & Charges/Comprehensive Plan/Cost Recovery** – I appreciate the work of the Fees & Charges Committee for leading us to a group called Greenplay, LLC. I've talked with Greenplay, LLC, they say often fees charged and fee needs don't link up and they have a procedure that links up pricing. Going to be putting out an RFP that talks about doing a philosophical all the way to what we actually charge program. Comprehensive plan update, I want to bring in a consultant to help us do that. The third piece is that the Assembly wants to know that we are operating facilities as effectively and efficiently as possible, that is called cost recovery. We are going to put out an RFP that has a menu and we will have an employee dedicated to driving that process. I envision it will be a two-year process. Right now we don't have great numbers about usage, it will take us about a year to gather that data. That data will be used in a series of public meetings, PRAC will be heavily involved in that. I'm putting this out as a suggestive course of action, I don't need anything tonight. I envision this as being a \$300,000 project over two years.
- **Vending** – At aquatics we have cleaned up the vending machines so they just have healthy options: juices. We didn't do anything with the snack machine we left that the way it was. Meeting with Treadwell Advisory Group tomorrow night to ask them what is going on.

- **Savikko Park Closure** – Still moving forward with the potential closure at 11 p.m. I envision PRAC providing a forum to take public comment in February or March. I envision putting up a sign down there by the first of the year so people will have two or three months to be aware that this is coming up.
- **Savikko Park Fields** – The material we put down on those two fields [three & four] has not compacted. It is gooey when it rains. We can't find the material that really works there. There is an outline here, last year on fields three and four GSA used it 22.6 hours, they would have used it more if it was in good shape. The school district used it 41 hours, public rentals – 22 hours, Goldrush Days – 87, Fourth of July – 83 hours. We've talked about grassing them over. I am just trying to figure out what the best thing to do down there is given what we've got for economics. I am not advocating that we grass it over, I am not advocating that we don't do anything, I am advocating that we have a problem and that we need to figure out what we can do. We grabbed the material we thought would work and it didn't work.

T. Gilmour – I know JSA wants to do some internal work to make a presentation to you guys. Can I ask that we wait for something from JSA until we do some work?

....

T. Gilmour – I see finding the right material for the fields as the biggest issue. The need is there, we also need to know a schedule for what the rest of the fields in Juneau look like. They all rotate as a different surface and they are all going to need dirt. There is a rotation of resurfacing these fields.

C. Mertl – I think this is an important issue.

K. Duncan – I see this as a boil issue.

C. Mertl – M. Morgan is there anything you would like to add?

M. Morgan – We need the park for JSA, we are the highest spenders when it comes to the fields, last year we spent \$22,000. We would use Sandy Beach for 20% of our games like we did three years ago before we were told the fields were not usable and they couldn't find a usable surface. I don't think we can turn our back on Sandy, as a user and Douglas resident, people would use it. Are there plans to do Dimond Park resurfacing ever? Could we use the same material for Sandy Beach?

K. Duncan – The history here is that we had a very good source of material at Dimond Park, we ran out of Dimond Park material during the construction. We ended up ordering a bunch of material from South Dakota and Wyoming. We are now trying to find the right material. I think that could be a job for all of us. Trying to figure out what is the right material is a big part of the job and the first part, because we have lost our source of material here in town.

T. Gilmour – For some background: George Schoff, the former P&R supervisor, stated that clay is what we use for better drainage and we don't have clay. What was used for Dimond Park came from the airport and that was like black gold. That is what we ran out of and they thought we were going to have enough for Sandy Beach and they were wrong. There is a rotation for resurfacing all fields in town for all user groups. There is a rotation of years, each field is slated to be used for a certain number of years, we just have to find the right material.

C. Mertl – Any direction for K. Duncan on our priority for Savikko and the ballfields?

J. Anderson – It seems to me that we have talked about several subjects tonight but we all need to get together and pick two or three that we can handle over the next year. There are a lot of subjects flying around right now so we need to have a powwow and prioritize.

C. Mertl – Can I take a general straw pull who feels this is a high priority ? [Majority of members raise hands.]

M. Morgan – I joined the Douglas Advisory Board and I know this is communication we can share with each other, so I will come to any meetings that deal with Savikko Park and bring forth what the Douglas Advisory Group came up with so we can work together to present to the Assembly.

C. Mertl – It seems like there are a few people on PRAC that have quite a bit of experience in this area. Do we want to set up a little subcommittee to provide assistance to K. Duncan and Parks and Rec?

T. Gilmour – Yes.

Unfinished Business –

C. Mertl – Let's talk about terms coming up.

L. Daniel – The PRAC report to the Assembly is due on January 11, 2016. Shortly after that G. Landry, T. Gilmour, and F. Dowd's terms will be up on February 28, 2016. The HRC meeting before terms are up is on February 8th so if you wish to reapply you will want to have your applications to the Assembly by February 8th.

Committee, Liaison, and Board Member Reports

Chair Report –

C. Merti – Agenda requests from PRAC have been pretty slim at best, so as you see things come up please send them to myself or K. Duncan to be put on the agenda. I'm putting you all on notice, I asked everybody to find one short-term pet project to tackle within a year and then something that is a bit bigger that is going to benefit the community. I am going to start calling on all of you to start putting these on the agenda and giving us updates. K. Duncan I have a couple of things we should add to a future agenda. We should thank our friends at Chatham Electric for volunteering and making donations for time and materials for Parks and Rec. I would love to give some recognition to them in January. J. Anderson, does that work?

J. Anderson – It wasn't just Chatham Electric. SRI, all those guys, Behrens, everybody. All those businesses didn't worry about change orders and just made that thing happen. This is definitely not just a Chatham Electric thing.

C. Merti – Can you work with K. Duncan in figuring out who to invite and we'll give them a quick two-minute in January PRAC.

K. Duncan – We are doing that at Zach Gordon in January.

C. Merti – Fair enough. J. Anderson it may be a good idea for you to come up with a Parks and Recreation policy for giving thanks. Whether it be advertising on the website or what.

....

C. Merti – In terms of the agenda I think that in section 8 it would be good if we added back the Lands group, the Youth Activities Board, Aquatics Board, I feel like we are losing a little bit of that contact. I would also like to get a holding pen for the agenda, things that are brought up and not closed go into a list. We tend to talk about things for a great amount of time and we say we'll get back to it and then it is forgotten.

Liaison to the Assembly Report – None.

Lands Committee –

C. Merti – Wetlands management plan is evolving into something more than just an inventory, my guess is that it will become management policy. Statter Harbor: they talked about moving the boat lift and the marine service yard from in front of Dehart's over to Auke Bay, that was a land swap. There is an update on affordable housing and subdivisions for supporting low-income affordable housing.

Fees & Charges Subcommittee Report –

T. Gilmour – I want to thank everyone for working with me. We are not having meetings anymore because we found a better way. Thank you for including us.

Youth Activities Board Report –

T. Rutecki -- YAB met to elect officers and try to standardize the scoring. Last year when we scored the grants there was significant deviation in how they were scored.

Other Member Business –

T. Rutecki – I'd like to put on the agenda and bring back to the surface the Auke Lake Management Plan. That could be my project, whatever needs to be done to champion that.

C. Merti – If everyone can go back and start digging out the things we haven't closed the loop on.

O. Brudie – I see the need for open space. The recent discussion on Pocket Park with respect to open space, I'd like to look at whether Juneau has enough open space in neighborhoods, do we have continuous open space.

C. Merti – Sounds like that is your pet project.

O. Brudie -- Yeah. I'd be willing to do some research and fashion some suggestions for the invasive species issue.

E. Oudekirk – in terms of the personal pet projects, K. Duncan had mentioned somebody to look at the RFPs as they get through those, I would be more than happy to do that. Like O. Brudie, I have a strong interest in looking at the open space, particularly in down town. I would be happy to help with the invasive species, but it is certainly not my passion and not something I can spend hours and hours chasing. I am happy to make connections with the people I know and work with.

J. Anderson – my pet project is the OHV situation.

T. Gilmour – I thank you for talking so much about Savikko with us and making that a priority. I have what is going to become a pet project I have somebody in the community who would like to talk about a duck food stand at Rotary Park so people stop feeding them bread. I am going to have her come and talk about the duck stands.

Adjournment – 9:07 p.m. Having no other business before the board.

Respectfully submitted, Lindsey Daniel, P&R Administrative Assistant II 12/29/15